

Quantum Mechanics on S^n and Meron Solution

Hitoshi IKEMORI¹

Faculty of Economics, Shiga University, Hikone, Shiga 522, Japan

Shinsaku KITAKADO²

Department of Physics, Nagoya University, Nagoya 464-01, Japan

Hajime NAKATANI

Chubu Polytechnic Center, Komaki, Aichi 485, Japan

Hideharu OTSU³

*Faculty of General Education, Aichi University,
Toyohashi, Aichi 441, Japan*

Toshiro SATO⁴

*Faculty of Political Science and Economics,
Matsusaka University, Matsusaka, Mie 515, Japan*

Abstract

A particle in quantum mechanics on manifolds couples to the induced topological gauge field that characterises the possible inequivalent quantizations. For instance, the gauge potential induced on S^2 is that of a magnetic monopole located at the center of S^2 . We find that the gauge potential induced on S^3 (S^{2n+1}) is that of a meron (generalized meron) also sitting at the center of S^3 (S^{2n+1}).

¹ikemori@biwako.shiga-u.ac.jp

²kitakado@eken.phys.nagoya-u.ac.jp

³otsu@vega.aichi-u.ac.jp

⁴tsato@matsusaka-u.ac.jp

In recent years, it has been noted in various situations that the topological gauge structure may be induced upon quantization on topologically nontrivial manifolds.[1][2][3] This gauge structure characterises the inequivalent quantizations that are possible on these manifolds. Particularly clear results are obtained for quantum mechanics on S^n with radius r embedded in R^{n+1} . [2]

Let x_α ($\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$) be a homogenous coordinate of R^{n+1} and define the S^n by the constraint

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_\alpha^2 - r^2 = 0. \quad (1)$$

We shall represent the observables by the use of the covariant form in R^{n+1} that should be projected on the S^n .

Then the fundamental algebra of observables on the S^n is given by [2]

$$\begin{aligned} [x_\alpha, x_\beta] &= 0, \\ [x_\lambda, G_{\alpha\beta}] &= i(x_\alpha \delta_{\lambda\beta} - x_\beta \delta_{\lambda\alpha}), \\ [G_{\alpha\beta}, G_{\lambda\mu}] &= i(\delta_{\alpha\lambda} G_{\beta\mu} - \delta_{\alpha\mu} G_{\beta\lambda} + \delta_{\beta\mu} G_{\alpha\lambda} - \delta_{\beta\lambda} G_{\alpha\mu}), \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

and the induced gauge potential that appears in this representation has the form for $n \geq 2$

$$\begin{cases} A_j(x) = \frac{1}{r(r+x_{n+1})} \sum_{k=1}^n S_{jk} x_k, \quad (j, k = 1, 2, \dots, n) \\ A_{n+1}(x) = 0 \end{cases}, \quad (3)$$

where S_{jk} 's are Hermitian matrices of $SO(n)$. These can be written in $SO(n+1)$ covariant form

$$A_\alpha = \frac{1}{r^2} \sum_{\beta=1}^{n+1} S_{\alpha\beta} x_\beta, \quad (4)$$

with the constraint on the wave function

$$\frac{1}{r} \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n+1} x_\alpha \gamma_\alpha \psi = \pm \psi. \quad (5)$$

Where γ_α 's are the Hermitian matrices satisfying the Clifford algebra of the

order $n + 1$ and $S_{\alpha\beta}$'s are Hermitian matrices that satisfy the Lie algebra of $SO(n + 1)$ and can be written as

$$S_{\alpha\beta} = \frac{1}{4i} [\gamma_\alpha, \gamma_\beta]. \quad (6)$$

The potentials induced in the cases $n = 1$ and $n = 2$ can be visualised as those resulting from a magnetic flux and a magnetic monopole respectively located at the center of the embedding space. The even n cases for $n \geq 4$ were interpreted as the (generalized) instantons,[3] [4] which are the habitants on S^n . On the other hand, there are no topological interpretations for the odd n ($n \geq 3$) cases, the first question in this respect being “What is sitting at the center of $S^3, S^5, S^7, \dots$?”. In this note we shall see that the odd n ($n \geq 3$) cases can similarly be considered to be the potentials generated by the (generalized) merons sitting at the center of the embedding space R^{n+1} . Although the meron solutions have singularities, they exist in our picture outside of the physical S^n , i.e. at the center of R^{n+1} wherein the former is embedded. Thus we can expect the meron effect on the surface. The authors of ref.[5] have explicitly obtained the generators satisfying the fundamental algebra in terms of the induced gauge potential and have discovered among others the zero size instanton for $n = 3$ at the center of S^3 . On the other hand, in ref.[3][4] BPST instantons appear on S^{2n} , $n = 2, 3, \dots$, embedded in R^{2n+1} .

In case of S^3 , the authors of ref.[2] have obtained an induced gauge field, without argument on topological interpretations. Their gauge field can be written modulo gauge transformations (in γ_5 -diagonal representation) as follows,

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} S_{\mu\nu} x_\nu = \frac{1}{r^2} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\mu\nu} & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} \end{pmatrix} x_\nu, \quad (7)$$

where $S_{\mu\nu}$'s ($\mu, \nu = 1, 2, 3, 4$) are the generators of the $SO(4)$ and

$$\sigma_{ij} = \bar{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{ijk} \tau_k, \quad \sigma_{i4} = -\bar{\sigma}_{i4} = \frac{1}{2} \tau_i \quad (\tau_i : \text{Pauli matrices}). \quad (8)$$

Introducing the four component spinor

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_R \\ \psi_L \end{pmatrix}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$\psi_{\frac{R}{L}} = \frac{1 \pm \gamma_5}{2} \psi,$$

the constraint (5) reduces to

$$\psi_L = \pm g^{-1}(x) \psi_R, \quad (10)$$

where

$$g(x) = \frac{(x_4 - i\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\tau})}{r} \left(r = \sqrt{x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2} \right). \quad (11)$$

Thus our wave functions are essentially two component spinors and we can rewrite (7) as

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} x_\nu, \quad (12)$$

or

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu\nu} x_\nu, \quad (13)$$

which can be considered as $SU(2)$ Yang-Mills (Y-M) gauge fields. Note that there is a case of the trivial gauge field modulo gauge transformations

$$A_\mu = 0, \quad (14)$$

We shall clarify that we can regard these configurations as topologically nontrivial objects in the embedding space R^4 . These gauge fields can be considered as $SU(2)$ gauge fields in R^4 space and they satisfy the Y-M equation in the embedding space.

Recall that the field equation

$$D_\mu f_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu f_{\mu\nu} - i[a_\mu, f_{\mu\nu}] = 0, \quad (15)$$

for the 4-dimensional Euclidean $SU(2)$ Y-M theory has instanton solutions,[6] the instanton number of which is

$$Q = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \int \text{tr} (f_{\mu\nu} \tilde{f}_{\mu\nu}) d^4x = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \quad (16)$$

due to $\Pi_3(SU(2)) = Z$. The $Q = 0$ case corresponds to the trivial solution with

$$a_\mu = 0, \quad (17)$$

the single instanton solution ($Q = 1$) can be written as

$$a_\mu = \frac{2}{r^2 + \lambda^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} x_\nu, \quad (18)$$

and we also have the multi-instanton solutions with $Q = m$.

In addition to these, there is a solution of Y-M equation called “meron”

$$a_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} x_\nu, \quad (19)$$

which has half an instanton number.[6]

Let us now consider each cases of induced gauge fields separately. First we consider the case of (14) $A_\mu = 0$. This is a trivial configuration, however, we note that we are concerned with the $SU(2)$ gauge fields and in addition to the small gauge transformations there are large gauge transformations, that can change the instanton number. The large gauge transformation with the winding number 1 is

$$g(x) = \frac{(x_4 - i\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\tau})}{r},$$

while those with the winding number m are

$$g^m(x) \ (m = \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots).$$

Thus we can have the induced gauge fields due to large gauge transformation

$$A_\mu = ig^{-1}(x) \partial_\mu g(x), \quad (20)$$

which could be considered as the $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ limit of an instanton or two merons sitting at $r = 0$. This is the configuration found in ref.[5] and the instanton number inside the S^3 is

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = 1. \quad (21)$$

Next we consider the case of (12)⁵

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} x_\nu.$$

⁵Note that (13) can be considered as the case of $m = -1$ in (27).

In fact this is the meron solution inferred in (19), the instanton density of which is $\frac{1}{2}\delta(r)$. This field is specified by the instanton number

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = \frac{1}{2}. \quad (22)$$

Thus in general

$$A_\mu = 0 + (\text{large gauge trans.}), \quad (23)$$

can be expressed as

$$A_\mu = ig^{-m}(x)\partial_\mu g^m(x), \quad (24)$$

and

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = m. \quad (25)$$

On the other hand,

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}x_\nu + (\text{large gauge trans.}), \quad (26)$$

reduces to

$$A_\mu = g^{-m}(x)\frac{1}{r^2}\sigma_{\mu\nu}x_\nu g^m(x) + ig^{-m}(x)\partial_\mu g^m(x), \quad (27)$$

and

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = \frac{1}{2} + m. \quad (28)$$

This argument can of course be generalized to S^{2n-1} ($n \geq 2$). We again have two cases with

$$A_\mu = iG^{-m}\partial_\mu G^m, \quad (29)$$

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = m, \quad (30)$$

and

$$A_\mu = G^{-m}\frac{1}{r^2}\Sigma_{\mu\nu}x_\nu G^m + iG^{-m}\partial_\mu G^m, \quad (31)$$

$$Q_{\text{inside}} = \frac{1}{2} + m, \quad (32)$$

where, $\Sigma_{\mu\nu}$ is the generator of $SO(2n)$, which can be expressed in terms of Γ_μ ($\mu = 1, 2, \dots, 2n$) satisfying the Clifford algebra of order $2n$ as

$$\Sigma_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4i} \left(\frac{1 + \Gamma_{2n+1}}{2} \right) [\Gamma_\mu, \Gamma_\nu]. \quad (33)$$

G is large gauge transformation that follows from nontriviality of $\Pi_{2n-1}(SO(2n))$. $m = 0$ case of (31) is nothing but the (generalized) meron solution [7] of YM theory in R^{2n} .

To summarize, the induced gauge fields for quantum mechanics on S^{2n-1} ($n \geq 2$) can be considered to be generated by merons (anti-merons) sitting at the center ($r = 0$) of R^{2n} where our S^{2n-1} is embedded. The gauge fields are specified by the instanton number $Q_{\text{inside}} = \frac{m}{2}$ (m :integer). For even m , $F_{\mu\nu} = 0$ on S^{2n-1} , but for odd m , $F_{\mu\nu} \neq 0$ even on S^{2n-1} .

To see the physical effect to a particle on S^3 of a meron at the center, let us consider a quantum mechanical phase factor

$$P \exp \left[i \oint A_\mu dx^\mu \right], \quad (34)$$

for the closed loop. To be specific we consider the case $x_4 = 0$, i.e. the closed loop inside S^2 ($x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 = r^2$). In the region $x_4 = 0$ the meron solution

$$A_\mu = \frac{1}{r^2} \sigma_{\mu\nu} x_\nu, \quad (35)$$

reduces to the Wu-Yang monopole

$$A_i = \frac{1}{2r^2} \epsilon_{ijk} x_j \tau_k, \quad (36)$$

with the magnetic charge $g = 1/2e$. Thus the phase factor is fixed by the magnetic flux going through the loop on S^2 that comes from the monopole. A particle on S^3 , moving in the region of $x_4 = 0$, is under the same effect as a particle on S^2 with a monopole of the magnetic charge $g = 1/2e$. On the other hand, for quantum mechanics on S^3 with an instanton(2-merons) at the center, no physical effect is expected on S^3 at least for $x_4 = 0$, since no magnetic flux pierces through S^2 .

Recent investigations have revealed a close connection between the quantum mechanically induced gauge potentials on manifolds on one hand and the so called topological terms appearing in field theories on the other.[8][9][10] Actually, the Hopf term in the 2+1 dimensional $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model in R^2 -space has been shown [8][9] to be an effect of quantum mechanics on S^1 , while the Wess-Zumino term in the chiral model defined in 1+1 dimensions can be understood [10] as the Dirac monopole potential that is induced on S^2 . It is interesting to note that $O(3)$ nonlinear sigma model on S^2 space [8]

were shown to be described by quantum mechanics on S^3 where the merons studied in this note should play a role.

Acknowledgments We would thank K. Hasebe for useful discussions. This work has been supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research No. 09640344.

References

- [1] G. Mackey, *Induced Representations of Groups and Quantum Mechanics* (Benjamin 1968) ;
N. P. Landsman and N. Linden, *Nucl. Phys.* **B356**, 121 (1991);
S. Tanimura, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **90**, 271 (1993).
- [2] Y. Ohnuki and S. Kitakado, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A7**, 2477 (1992); *J. Math. Phys.* **34**, 2827 (1993).
- [3] D. McMullan and I. Tsutsui, *Phys. Lett.* **B320**, 287 (1994); *Ann. Phys.* **237**, 269 (1995).
- [4] K. Fujii, S. Kitakado and Y. Ohnuki, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A10**, 867 (1995).
- [5] M. Hirayama, H.M. Zhang and T. Hamada, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **97**, 679 (1997).
- [6] A. Actor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **51**, 461 (1979).
- [7] G.M. O'Brien and D.H. Tchrakian, *Phys. Lett.* **B194**, 411 (1987).
- [8] Y. Igarashi, S. Kitakado, H. Otsu and T. Sato, *Mod. Phys. Lett.* **A12**, 57 (1997).
- [9] H. Kobayashi, I. Tsutsui and S. Tanimura , *KEK-Preprint-97-19 (hep-th/9705183)* (1997).
- [10] H. Miyazaki and I. Tsutsui, *KEK-Preprint-97-84 (hep-th/9706167)* (1997).